

Canonical brackets from a set of continuous symmetry transformations for the 2D QED with Dirac fields**S. Gupta^(a), R. Kumar^(a), R. P. Malik^(a,b)**^(a)*Department of Physics, Centre of Advanced Studies,
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221 005, (U. P.), India***and**^(b)*DST Centre for Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi - 221 005, India
e-mails: guptasaurabh4u@gmail.com, raviphynuc@gmail.com, malik@bhu.ac.in*

Abstract: We deduce the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators of a two $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional (2D) interacting Abelian 1-form gauge theory with Dirac fields (QED) by exploiting the strength of the continuous symmetries of a Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) invariant Lagrangian density that respects, in totality, six continuous symmetries. All these symmetries lead to the derivation of exactly the same canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators that appear in the normal mode expansions of the basic fields of the theory. We exploit here the basic concepts of the spin-statistics theorem, normal ordering and continuous symmetries (and their generators) to obtain the canonical brackets. For the sake of precise comparison, we also derive the above brackets by applying the usual canonical approach to the Lagrangian density of the theory.

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q; 03.70.+k

Keywords: Continuous symmetry transformations; 2D QED with Dirac fields; canonical (anti-) commutators; creation and annihilation operators; conserved charges as generators

1. Introduction

Symmetry principles, through the ages, have helped physicists to unravel some of the deepest mysteries of nature. It is well-known, for instance, that the *local* symmetries govern the basic interactions of nature (see, e.g. [1] and references therein). Furthermore, the key concepts of symmetry principles lead to the conservation laws in the realm of classical and quantum systems and they also dictate selection rules in the context of the latter. In our present investigation, we establish that the continuous symmetry transformations lead to the derivation of basic (anti-) commutators that are at the heart of the covariant canonical quantization of a gauge theory within the framework of Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) formalism [2].

In our present paper, we *first* demonstrate that the (anti-) BRST, (anti-) co-BRST, ghost and a bosonic symmetry transformations of a *free* 2D Abelian 1-form gauge theory [3] imply the existence of the canonical brackets that are required for the covariant canonical quantization of the above theory within the framework of BRST formalism. It should be noted that *all* the above symmetries lead to the derivation of the one and the same canonical brackets (see, e.g. (17) below) that are also deduced by exploiting the usual canonical method applied to the appropriate Lagrangian density of the theory (see, Sec. 6 below). Thus, it is crystal clear that the multi-faceted usefulness of the continuous symmetries embrace in its folds the derivation of the basic canonical brackets, too, for a given theory.

We go a step further in our *second* part and exploit the (anti-) BRST, (anti-) co-BRST and a bosonic symmetry transformations for the dynamically closed system (i.e. QED) of the 2D U(1) gauge field (described by A_μ), electrons and positrons (described by the Dirac fields ψ and $\bar{\psi}$) to derive the basic anticommutators amongst the creation and annihilation operators that appear in the normal mode expansions of the Dirac fields. This derivation is non-trivial and more involved than the ones used for the case of the *free* U(1) gauge theory. The complication arises due to the fact that there are trilinear terms (see, Sec. 5 below) in the expression for the conserved (anti-) BRST and (anti-) co-BRST charges which turn out to be the generators of the above continuous symmetry transformations. This observation should be contrasted with the *free* case of the U(1) gauge theory where all the charges are endowed with the quadratic (bilinear) fields *only* (see, e.g. (6) below).

In the context of the canonical method of quantization, we primarily exploit a triplet of ideas. First, we use the spin-statistics theorem to distinguish the nature of the fields (i.e. fermionic/bosonic) that appear in a given theory. Second, from the Lagrangian density of a given field theory, we derive the canonical (graded) Poisson brackets between the field variables and cor-

responding conjugate momenta. These brackets are, ultimately, elevated to the canonical (anti-) commutators. Finally, the field variables and corresponding momenta are expressed in terms of the normal mode expansions that incorporate the creation and annihilation operators. The basic canonical brackets amongst the latter operators follow from the basic canonical (anti-) commutators. The relevant physical quantities (such as Hamiltonian, conserved charges, etc.) that play decisive roles in the canonical quantization scheme are, ultimately, expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators where the concept of normal ordering is required to render sensible physical meaning to these physical quantities.

In our present investigation, we shall tap the potential and power of the celebrated spin-statistics theorem as well as normal ordering but we shall *not* exploit the concept of canonical (graded) Poisson brackets. Instead, in the place of the latter, we shall take the help of the continuous symmetry transformations (and their corresponding generators) to obtain the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators. To the best of our knowledge, our present derivation is a novel theoretical method that has not been exploited, hitherto, in the context of the quantization scheme (where the creation and annihilation operators are involved). Our method has the potential to be generalized to other field-theoretic models which respect continuous symmetry transformations. The presence of the latter is a crucial requirement for our proposed method of quantization scheme.

The prime factors that have propelled us to pursue our present investigation are as follows. First and foremost, it is very exciting to note that the symmetry principles, in some sense, dictate the basic canonical brackets of a given theory. Second, our present work has the potential to be generalized to the case of the 4D free Abelian 2-form gauge theory which is also endowed with a set of six continuous symmetry transformations [4]. Third, the derivation of the basic canonical brackets from the symmetry considerations is aesthetically more appealing than the derivation of the same by exploiting the definition of the canonical momenta from a given Lagrangian density. Finally, our present endeavor adds yet another glittering jewel in the crown of the versatility of symmetry principles (in the realm of theoretical physics).

The contents of our paper are organized as follows. In section two, we discuss various continuous symmetry properties of the Lagrangian density of a *free* 2D Abelian 1-form gauge theory to set up notations and conventions for the whole body of our text. Our section three is devoted to the derivation of conserved charges which, in turn, are expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators. Section four deals with the derivation of canonical (anti-) commutators by exploiting the basic tenets of symmetry principles. In section five, we dwell concisely on the 2D QED with Dirac fields and deduce

the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators of the Dirac fields from their continuous symmetry transformations. Our section six deals with the derivation of the above brackets from the first principles that are applied to the Lagrangian density for the usual canonical quantization scheme. Finally, in section seven, we make some concluding remarks.

In our Appendices A, B and C, we perform some explicit computations to clarify a few statements made in the main body of our text.

2. Lagrangian formalism: symmetry principles

We begin with the basic Lagrangian density of a *free* 2D Abelian 1-form gauge theory in the Feynman gauge¹ (see, e.g. [5-7])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_b &= -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial \cdot A)^2 - i \partial_\mu \bar{C} \partial^\mu C \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2}E^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial \cdot A)^2 - i \partial_\mu \bar{C} \partial^\mu C\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the curvature tensor derived from the 2-form $F^{(2)} = dA^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu)F_{\mu\nu}$ where $d = dx^\mu \partial_\mu$ (with $d^2 = 0$) is the exterior derivative and 1-form $A^{(1)} = dx^\mu A_\mu$ defines the vector potential A_μ . The gauge fixing term $(-\frac{1}{2}(\partial \cdot A)^2)$ owes its origin to the co-exterior derivative $\delta = - * d *$ (with $\delta^2 = 0$) because $\delta A^{(1)} \equiv - * d * A^{(1)} = +(\partial \cdot A)$. Here $*$ is the Hodge duality operation on the 2D spacetime manifold. The fermionic (anti-) ghost fields $(\bar{C})C$ (with $C^2 = \bar{C}^2 = 0$, $C\bar{C} + \bar{C}C = 0$, etc.) are required for the validity of unitarity in our present quantum theory.

The Lagrangian density (1) respects the on-shell (i.e. $\square C = 0$, $\square \bar{C} = 0$) nilpotent (i.e. $s_{(a)b}^2 = 0$) (anti-) BRST symmetry transformations $s_{(a)b}$

$$\begin{aligned}s_b A_\mu &= \partial_\mu C & s_b C &= 0 & s_b \bar{C} &= -i (\partial \cdot A) & s_b E &= 0 \\ s_{ab} A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \bar{C} & s_{ab} \bar{C} &= 0 & s_{ab} C &= +i (\partial \cdot A) & s_{ab} E &= 0\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

under which, the physical (gauge-invariant) electric field (E), owing its origin to exterior derivative $d = dx^\mu \partial_\mu$, remains invariant. Further, we have the following on-shell ($\square C = 0$, $\square \bar{C} = 0$) nilpotent ($s_{(a)d}^2 = 0$) (anti-) co-BRST

¹We adopt here the convention such that the 2D flat Minkowskian metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is with signatures $(+1, -1)$ and $P \cdot Q = \eta_{\mu\nu} P^\mu Q^\nu = P_0 Q_0 - P_i Q_i$ is the dot product between two non-null vectors P_μ and Q_μ . Here the Greek indices $\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1$ and Latin indices $i, j, \dots = 1$. It is to be noted that, in 2D, $F_{\mu\nu}$ has only electric field as its non-vanishing component (i.e. $F_{01} = -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu = E$). We take 2D antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor ($\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$) with the choice $\varepsilon_{01} = +1 = -\varepsilon^{01}$ and the d'Alembertian operator $\square = \partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2$.

(or (anti-) dual-BRST) symmetry transformations $s_{(a)d}$ [3]

$$\begin{aligned} s_d A_\mu &= -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \bar{C} & s_d \bar{C} &= 0 & s_d C &= -i E & s_d(\partial \cdot A) &= 0 \\ s_{ad} A_\mu &= -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu C & s_{ad} C &= 0 & s_{ad} \bar{C} &= +i E & s_{ad}(\partial \cdot A) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

that leave the Lagrangian density (1) quasi-invariant. It should be noted that the gauge fixing term $(\partial \cdot A)$, owing its origin to the co-exterior derivative, remains invariant under the (anti-) co-BRST symmetry transformations.

A bosonic symmetry (s_ω) (as the anticommutator $\{s_b, s_d\} \equiv -\{s_{ab}, s_{ad}\} = s_\omega$) leads to the following infinitesimal transformations [3]

$$\begin{aligned} s_\omega A_\mu &= \partial_\mu E - \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu (\partial \cdot A) & s_\omega E &= \square (\partial \cdot A) \\ s_\omega C &= 0 & s_\omega \bar{C} &= 0 & s_\omega(\partial \cdot A) &= \square E \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

under which, the Lagrangian density (1) transforms to a total spacetime derivative. Furthermore, we have a continuous ghost symmetry transformation (s_g) in the theory, namely;

$$s_g A_\mu = 0 \quad s_g C = +C \quad s_g \bar{C} = -\bar{C} \quad s_g E = 0 \quad s_g(\partial \cdot A) = 0 \quad (5)$$

which is derived from the infinitesimal version of the scale transformations ($C \rightarrow e^\Lambda C$, $\bar{C} \rightarrow e^{-\Lambda} \bar{C}$, $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu$ where Λ is a global parameter). It is, thus, clear that we have a set of six continuous symmetries in the theory. Two of these (i.e. s_g, s_ω) are bosonic in nature and rest of them (i.e. $s_{(a)b}, s_{(a)d}$) are fermionic ($s_{(a)b}^2 = 0$, $s_{(a)d}^2 = 0$). The latter property is nothing but “the nilpotency of order two” that is associated with the (anti-) BRST and (anti-) co-BRST symmetry transformations that are present in our theory.

3. Conserved charges in terms of creation and annihilation operators: exact expressions

The continuous symmetry transformations, according to Noether’s theorem, lead to the derivation of conserved currents. These, in turn, provide us the expressions for the conserved charges (i.e. $Q_r = \int dx J_r^0$, $r = b, ab, d, ad, g, \omega$, where J_r^0 is the zeroth component of the conserved current J_r^μ). These charges, for our present theory, are as follows [3]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_b &= \int dx [\partial_0(\partial \cdot A) C - (\partial \cdot A) \dot{C}] & Q_d &= \int dx [E \dot{\bar{C}} - \dot{E} \bar{C}] \\ Q_{ab} &= \int dx [\partial_0(\partial \cdot A) \bar{C} - (\partial \cdot A) \dot{\bar{C}}] & Q_{ad} &= \int dx [E \dot{C} - \dot{E} C] \\ Q_\omega &= \int dx [\partial_0(\partial \cdot A) E - \dot{E} (\partial \cdot A)] & Q_g &= i \int dx [C \dot{\bar{C}} + \bar{C} \dot{C}] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where dot on a generic field Φ denotes the time derivative (i.e. $\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}$).

It is evident, from the Lagrangian density (1), that the basic fields of the theory satisfy the following Euler-Lagrange equations of motion

$$\square A_\mu = 0 \quad \square C = 0 \quad \square \bar{C} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The normal mode expansions of these fields in the phase space of our present theory are listed below (see, e.g. [7] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu(x, t) &= \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} [a_\mu(k) e^{+ik \cdot x} + a_\mu^\dagger(k) e^{-ik \cdot x}] \\ C(x, t) &= \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} [c(k) e^{+ik \cdot x} + c^\dagger(k) e^{-ik \cdot x}] \\ \bar{C}(x, t) &= \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} [\bar{c}(k) e^{+ik \cdot x} + \bar{c}^\dagger(k) e^{-ik \cdot x}] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where 2-vector $k_\mu = (k_0, k_1 = k)$ is the momentum vector and $a_\mu^\dagger(k)$, $c^\dagger(k)$ and $\bar{c}^\dagger(k)$ are the creation operators for a photon, a ghost and an anti-ghost quanta, respectively. The non-dagger operators $a_\mu(k)$, $c(k)$ and $\bar{c}(k)$ stand for the corresponding annihilation operators for a single quantum.

Plugging in these expansions (for $t = 0$) in the expression for the corresponding charges in (6), we obtain² the following (see, e.g. [8] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_b &= - \int dk \ k^\mu [a_\mu^\dagger(k) c(k) + c^\dagger(k) a_\mu(k)] \\ Q_{ab} &= - \int dk \ k^\mu [a_\mu^\dagger(k) \bar{c}(k) + \bar{c}^\dagger(k) a_\mu(k)] \\ Q_d &= - \int dk \ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu [\bar{c}^\dagger(k) a_\nu(k) + a_\nu^\dagger(k) \bar{c}(k)] \\ Q_{ad} &= - \int dk \ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu [c^\dagger(k) a_\nu(k) + a_\nu^\dagger(k) c(k)] \\ Q_g &= - \int dk [\bar{c}^\dagger(k) c(k) + c^\dagger(k) \bar{c}(k)] \\ Q_\omega &= i \int dk \ \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k^\rho [a_\rho^\dagger(k) a_\nu(k) - a_\nu^\dagger(k) a_\rho(k)] \\ &\equiv i \int dk \ k^2 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} a_\mu^\dagger(k) a_\nu(k) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where normal ordering has been performed. As a consequence, all the creation operators, in each term, have been brought to the left. This ordering

²We have taken into account the expression for the Dirac δ -function as $\delta(k - k') = (1/2\pi) \int dx [e^{\pm i(k-k') \cdot x}]$ in the explicit computation of the charges in equation (9).

renders the above conserved charges physically sensible. Furthermore, this operation fulfills an essential requirement of the quantization scheme.

4. Canonical brackets: symmetry considerations

According to the common folklore in quantum field theory, the conserved charges (6) (that are derived due to the presence of the continuous symmetries in the theory) generate the continuous symmetry transformations as

$$s_r \Phi = \pm i [\Phi, Q_r]_{\pm} \quad r = b, ab, d, ad, \omega, g \quad (10)$$

where Φ is the generic field of the theory and Q_r are the conserved charges (cf. (6)). The (+)– signs, as the subscripts on the square bracket, correspond to the (anti-) commutators for the generic field Φ being (fermionic) bosonic in nature. The (+)– signs, in front of the expression on the r.h.s. (i.e. $\pm i [\Phi, Q_r]_{\pm}$), need explanation. The pertinent points, regarding the choice of a specific sign for a specific purpose, are as follows:

(i) for $s_r = s_b, s_{ab}, s_d, s_{ad}$ only the negative sign is to be taken into account (i.e. $s_b A_\mu = -i [A_\mu, Q_b]$, $s_b \bar{C} = -i \{\bar{C}, Q_b\}$, etc.), and

(ii) for $s_r = s_g, s_\omega$ the negative sign is to be taken into account for the bosonic field and the positive sign is to be chosen for the fermionic field (e.g. $s_g A_\mu = -i [A_\mu, Q_g]$, $s_g C = +i [C, Q_g]$, $s_g \bar{C} = +i [\bar{C}, Q_g]$, etc.).

At this juncture, let us take an example $s_b A_\mu = \partial_\mu C$ to make it clear that the symmetry principles dictate the structure of the canonical brackets. This symmetry transformation, mathematically, can be expressed as

$$s_b A_\mu = -i [A_\mu, Q_b] = \partial_\mu C. \quad (11)$$

Now taking the normal mode expansions for A_μ and C from equation (8), it is clear that we have the following relationships (see, e.g. [7])

$$[Q_b, a_\mu(k)] = k_\mu c(k) \quad [Q_b, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] = -k_\mu c^\dagger(k). \quad (12)$$

Plugging in the expression for Q_b in terms of the creation and annihilation operators (cf. equation (9)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [a_\mu(k), a_\nu^\dagger(k')] &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \delta(k - k') & [a_\mu^\dagger(k), a_\nu^\dagger(k')] &= 0 \\ [a_\mu^\dagger(k), c(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu^\dagger(k), c^\dagger(k')] &= 0 \\ [a_\mu(k), a_\nu(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu(k), c^\dagger(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu(k), c(k')] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In exactly similar fashion, the nilpotent BRST transformations

$$\begin{aligned} s_b C &= -i \{C, Q_b\} = 0 \implies \{Q_b, c(k)\} = 0 & \{Q_b, c^\dagger(k)\} &= 0 \\ s_b \bar{C} &= -i \{\bar{C}, Q_b\} = -i (\partial \cdot A) \implies \\ \{Q_b, \bar{c}(k)\} &= i k^\mu a_\mu(k) & \{Q_b, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)\} &= -i k^\mu a_\mu^\dagger(k) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

lead to the derivation of the following brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
\{c^\dagger(k), \bar{c}(k')\} &= -i \delta(k - k') & \{c(k), \bar{c}(k')\} &= 0 \\
\{c(k), \bar{c}^\dagger(k')\} &= +i \delta(k - k') & \{c^\dagger(k), \bar{c}^\dagger(k')\} &= 0 \\
[a_\mu^\dagger(k), \bar{c}(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu(k), \bar{c}(k')] &= 0 \\
[a_\mu^\dagger(k), \bar{c}^\dagger(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu(k), \bar{c}^\dagger(k')] &= 0 \\
[a_\mu^\dagger(k), c(k')] &= 0 & [a_\mu(k), c(k')] &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is worthwhile to point out that the following statements are true, namely;

(i) the above brackets have been derived by taking into account *only* the on-shell nilpotent BRST symmetry transformations (i.e. $s_b A_\mu = \partial_\mu C$, $s_b C = 0$, $s_b \bar{C} = -i(\partial \cdot A)$) that are present in our 2D theory, and

(ii) the expressions on the r.h.s. of equations (12) and (14) enforce, in a subtle manner, the choice of the (anti-) commutators in (13) and (15).

We would like to emphasize that the above exercise can be performed with *all* the six conserved charges listed in (6). The relevant (anti-) commutators, that emerge from this exercise, are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q_{ab}, a_\mu(k)] &= +k_\mu \bar{c}(k) & [Q_{ab}, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] &= -k_\mu \bar{c}^\dagger(k) \\
\{Q_{ab}, c(k)\} &= -ik^\mu a_\mu(k) & \{Q_{ab}, c^\dagger(k)\} &= +ik^\mu a_\mu^\dagger(k) \\
\{Q_{ab}, \bar{c}(k)\} &= 0 & \{Q_{ab}, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)\} &= 0 & \{Q_d, \bar{c}(k)\} &= 0 & \{Q_d, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)\} &= 0 \\
[Q_d, a_\mu(k)] &= -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} k^\nu \bar{c}(k) & [Q_d, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] &= +\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} k^\nu \bar{c}^\dagger(k) \\
\{Q_d, c(k)\} &= -i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu a_\nu(k) & \{Q_d, c^\dagger(k)\} &= +i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu a_\nu^\dagger(k) \\
[Q_{ad}, a_\mu(k)] &= -\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} k^\nu c(k) & [Q_{ad}, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] &= +\varepsilon_{\mu\nu} k^\nu c^\dagger(k) \\
\{Q_{ad}, \bar{c}(k)\} &= +i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu a_\nu(k) & \{Q_{ad}, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)\} &= -i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\mu a_\nu^\dagger(k) \\
\{Q_{ad}, c(k)\} &= 0 & \{Q_{ad}, c^\dagger(k)\} &= 0 & [Q_g, a_\mu(k)] &= 0 & [Q_g, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] &= 0 \\
[Q_g, c(k)] &= +ic(k) & [Q_g, c^\dagger(k)] &= +ic^\dagger(k) \\
[Q_g, \bar{c}(k)] &= -i\bar{c}(k) & [Q_g, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)] &= -i\bar{c}^\dagger(k) \\
[Q_\omega, a_\mu(k)] &= -ik^2 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} a^\nu(k) & [Q_\omega, a_\mu^\dagger(k)] &= -ik^2 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} (a^\nu)^\dagger(k) \\
[Q_\omega, c(k)] &= [Q_\omega, c^\dagger(k)] = [Q_\omega, \bar{c}(k)] = [Q_\omega, \bar{c}^\dagger(k)] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The outcome of all the above (anti-) commutators, with the help of the normal mode expansions (8) and the expressions for the charges in (9), lead to the following non-vanishing brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
[a_\mu(k), a_\nu^\dagger(k')] &= \eta_{\mu\nu} \delta(k - k') & \{c(k), \bar{c}^\dagger(k')\} &= +i \delta(k - k') \\
\{c^\dagger(k), \bar{c}(k')\} &= -i \delta(k - k')
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

within the framework of BRST formalism. All the rest of the (anti-) commutators turn out to be zero. In a nut-shell, we have exploited the spin-statistics theorem (cf. (10)), normal ordering (cf. (9)) and continuous symmetries (and their corresponding generators) (cf. (10)) to derive our key result (17).

5. QED with Dirac fields: canonical anticommutators

Let us incorporate the Dirac fields in the Lagrangian density (1) so that we could obtain a dynamically closed system of *interacting* electron, positron and U(1) gauge photon in two (1 + 1)-dimensions of spacetime. Such a Lagrangian density is as follows³ (see, e.g. [9])

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} E^2 + \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi - e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu A_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \cdot A)^2 - i \partial_\mu \bar{C} \partial^\mu C \quad (18)$$

where Dirac fields $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ obey $\psi\bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi}\psi = 0$, $\psi^2 = \bar{\psi}^2 = 0$ and $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$. We follow here the convention of left derivative (on the fermionic field variables) and be consistent with it throughout the whole body of our text. As a consequence, we have $\Pi_C = i \dot{\bar{C}}$, $\Pi_{\bar{C}} = -i \dot{C}$, $\Pi_\psi = -i \psi^\dagger$.

The Lagrangian density (18) respects the on-shell nilpotent (anti-) BRST transformations. It can be seen that, in addition to the transformations (2), we have the following nilpotent and absolutely anticommuting transformations for the Dirac field (see, e.g. [9] for details)

$$s_b \psi = -i e C \psi \quad s_b \bar{\psi} = i e C \bar{\psi} \quad s_{ab} \psi = -i e \bar{C} \psi \quad s_{ab} \bar{\psi} = i e \bar{C} \bar{\psi} \quad (19)$$

under which (18) remains quasi-invariant. The corresponding (anti-) BRST charges have the same expression as given in (6) but with a difference now. One has to make the following replacement in $Q_{(a)b}$, namely;

$$\partial_0 (\partial \cdot A) = -\partial_i E_i + e \bar{\psi} \gamma_0 \psi \quad (20)$$

which emerges from the equations of motion $(\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\nu (\partial \cdot A) = e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\nu \psi)$. Thus, the expressions for the BRST and anti-BRST charges, that generate the transformations (2) and (19), are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_b &= \int dx [E_i \partial_i C + e \bar{\psi} \gamma_0 \psi C - (\partial \cdot A) \dot{C}] \\ Q_{ab} &= \int dx [E_i \partial_i \bar{C} + e \bar{\psi} \gamma_0 \psi \bar{C} - (\partial \cdot A) \dot{\bar{C}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

³We choose the 2×2 gamma matrices in 2D in terms of the 2×2 Pauli matrices such that $\gamma^0 = \sigma_2$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma_1$, $\gamma_5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 = \sigma_3$, $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, $\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\nu$. Here σ_i (with $i = 1, 2, 3$) are the standard 2×2 hermitian and traceless Pauli matrices [9].

Following (10) and (19), it is clear that the Dirac fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned} s_b \psi &= -i \{ \psi, Q_b \} = -i e C \psi & s_{ab} \psi &= -i \{ \psi, Q_{ab} \} = -i e \bar{C} \psi \\ s_b \bar{\psi} &= -i \{ \bar{\psi}, Q_b \} = +i e C \bar{\psi} & s_{ab} \bar{\psi} &= -i \{ \bar{\psi}, Q_{ab} \} = +i e \bar{C} \bar{\psi} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where we have used only the basic concepts of the continuous symmetries (and their generators) as well as the spin-statistics theorem.

We can, at this stage, take the following mode expansions for the Dirac fields⁴ in the momentum phase space [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{(2\pi) 2k_0}} \sum_{\alpha} \left(b^{\alpha}(k) u^{\alpha}(k) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (d^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) v^{\alpha}(k) e^{-ik \cdot x} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\dagger}(x, t) &= \int \frac{dk}{\sqrt{(2\pi) 2k_0}} \sum_{\alpha} \left((b^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) (u^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) e^{-ik \cdot x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d^{\alpha}(k) (v^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) e^{+ik \cdot x} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $(b^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k), (d^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k)$ are the creation operators and the corresponding operators without dagger (i.e. $b^{\alpha}(k), d^{\alpha}(k)$) are the annihilation operators. All these operators are *fermionic* in nature. The bosonic variables $u^{\alpha}(k)$ and $v^{\alpha}(k)$ are the plane wave solutions of the Dirac equation for the positive and negative energies, respectively. We shall be exploiting some of the key properties associated with these operators. A few of these are (see, e.g. [10] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha} u^{\alpha}(k) \bar{u}^{\alpha}(k) &= (\gamma^{\mu} k_{\mu} + m) & \sum_{\alpha} v^{\alpha}(k) \bar{v}^{\alpha}(k) &= (\gamma^{\mu} k_{\mu} - m) \\ (u^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k) u^{\alpha}(k) &= 2k_0 \delta^{\alpha\beta} & (v^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k) v^{\alpha}(k) &= 2k_0 \delta^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\bar{u}^{\alpha}(k) = (u^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) \gamma^0$, $\bar{v}^{\alpha}(k) = (v^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k) \gamma^0$.

It will be worthwhile to mention that, in the case of 2D *free* U(1) gauge theory, it was quite easy to express all the conserved charges in terms of the creation and annihilation operators in a compact form without any exponentials (cf. (9)) because in these expressions, the field variables turned out to be *quadratic* (bilinear) only. This is, however, not the case as far as

⁴Our discussion is valid here for the Dirac fields in any arbitrary dimension of spacetime. We take only (2π) -factor in the denominator corresponding to the 2D interacting Abelian 1-form theory so that we could be consistent with our earlier expansions (8).

the interacting 2D QED is concerned. It can be seen that, in the expressions for Q_b and Q_{ab} , we have terms (e.g. $\int dx e C \psi^\dagger \psi$ etc.) that are *not* quadratic. Thus, to obtain the canonical brackets between the fermionic annihilation and creation operators (e.g. $b^\alpha(k)$, $(b^\alpha)^\dagger(k)$, etc.) we have to adopt a different technique because non-quadratic terms can not be expanded in a compact form in terms of creation and annihilation operators without any exponentials. To elucidate this point, we do the following explicit exercise which conveys the main ideology of our approach.

Let us try to obtain the canonical anticommutators from the following principles of continuous symmetry transformations:

$$s_b \psi = -i e C \psi = -i \{\psi, Q_b\} \implies e C \psi = \{\psi, Q_b\} \quad (26)$$

where the BRST charge Q_b is the generator of the transformations s_b . Since the nilpotent (anti-) BRST charges are conserved quantities, it is simpler to perform all the computations with $t = 0$ (see, e.g. [8] for details). Exploiting the expansions in (8) and (23), in this limit (i.e. $t = 0$), the l.h.s. is

$$\begin{aligned} e \int \frac{dk dk'}{(2\pi)\sqrt{(2k_0 2k'_0)}} \sum_\alpha & \left(c(k) b^\alpha(k') u^\alpha(k') \exp[-i(k+k')x] \right. \\ & + c(k) (d^\alpha)^\dagger(k') v^\alpha(k') \exp[-i(k-k')x] + c^\dagger(k) b^\alpha(k') u^\alpha(k') \\ & \left. \exp[+i(k-k')x] + c^\dagger(k) (d^\alpha)^\dagger(k') v^\alpha(k') \exp[+i(k+k')x] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where, in the exponentials, we have only the space part of the 2D dot products and k and k' correspond to the momenta for the fields $C(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ in the phase space. The powers of exponential play very important roles when we compare the l.h.s. with r.h.s. (while exploiting the basic equation (26) related to the key tenets of continuous symmetries and their generators).

As far as the computation of the r.h.s. is concerned, it should be noted that the following portions of the BRST and anti-BRST charges, namely;

$$Q_b \approx e \int dx C(x) \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) \quad Q_{ab} \approx e \int dx \bar{C}(x) \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) \quad (28)$$

contribute in the computations of $s_{(a)b}\psi$ and $s_{(a)b}\bar{\psi}$. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that the rest of the quadratic parts of the (anti-) BRST charges ($Q_{(a)b}$) can be expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators and they appear in a compact form as is the case in (9). The explicit form of the contributing factor (in the case of $s_b\psi$), from the r.h.s., is

$$e \int dy \{\psi(x), C(y) \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y)\}. \quad (29)$$

The above expression can be written in terms of the mode expansions of the fields $C(y)$, $\psi(y)$, $\psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(x)$ by exploiting (8), (23) and (24). It is evident that the comparison of the l.h.s. with the r.h.s. leads to the cancellation of the factor “e” present on both the sides (cf. (27),(29)).

The relevant Q_b part (i.e. $\int dy C(y) \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y)$) for our computation, in terms of the mode expansion, can be written (for $t = 0$) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \int \frac{dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} \left(a_1 \exp[-i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y] \right. \\ & + a_2 \exp[-i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y] + a_3 \exp[-i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y] \\ & + a_4 \exp[-i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y] + a_5 \exp[+i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y] \\ & + a_6 \exp[+i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y] + a_7 \exp[+i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y] \\ & \left. + a_8 \exp[+i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where k_2, k_3 and k_4 are the momenta associated with the mode expansions of $C(y)$, $\psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(y)$, respectively. The operators a_i ⁵ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$) are

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= c(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_2 &= c(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_3 &= c(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_4 &= c(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_5 &= c^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_6 &= c^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_7 &= c^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ a_8 &= c^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Ultimately, we have to compute the anticommutator between the eight terms of the relevant part of Q_b and the two terms of the mode expansion of $\psi(x, t)$ (cf. (23)) that are written in terms of operators $b^\alpha(k)$ and $(d^\alpha)^\dagger(k)$.

It is clear from the expansion of $\psi(x, t)$ and the above eight terms that there would, in totality, be sixteen anticommutators in the computation of $\{\psi, Q_b\}$. At this stage, the fermionic (i.e. $\psi^2(x, t) = 0$, $(\psi^\dagger)^2(x, t) = 0$) nature of the fields $\psi(x, t)$ and $\psi^\dagger(x, t)$ help us immensely. For instance, it can be seen that the following relationships amongst the creation and annihilation operators ensue from the first condition $\psi^2(x, t) = 0$, namely;

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \{\psi(x, t), \psi(x, t)\} = 0 \implies \{b^\alpha(k), b^\beta(k')\} = 0 \\ \{(d^\alpha)^\dagger(k), (d^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} &= 0 \quad \{b^\alpha(k), (d^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

⁵We have not written operators a_i in the normal ordered form and maintained the order as they have appeared (because Q_b is *still* not in the form like expressions in (9)).

Similarly $(\psi^2)^\dagger(x, t) = 0$ implies the following

$$\{(b^\alpha)^\dagger(k), (b^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} = 0 \quad \{(b^\alpha)^\dagger(k), d^\beta(k')\} = 0 \quad \{d^\alpha(k), d^\beta(k')\} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Note that the relations (32) and (33) are also valid for $k = k'$ (because of the limiting case). The above canonical brackets help us in evaluating sixteen anticommutators in a simple manner because many of them vanish.

It is straightforward to check that the first term of the mode expansion of $\psi(x, t)$ (cf. (23)) and the first term of the expansion (30) lead to the following anticommutator (for $t = 0$), namely;

$$\sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} \left\{ b^\beta(k_1) u^\beta(k_1) e^{-ik_1 x}, a_1 e^{-i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y} \right\} \quad (34)$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are the momenta corresponding to the fields $\psi(x)$, $C(y)$, $\psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(y)$, respectively, in the phase space. It is clear from the expression for “ a_1 ” (cf. (31)) and the relevant anticommutator of (32) that we have

$$- \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} c(k_2) \{b^\beta(k_1), (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3)\} u^\beta(k_1) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) e^{-i k_1 x} e^{-i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y}. \quad (35)$$

Here a couple of points are to be noted. First, since we are exploiting here the equal-time anticommutators, all the field expansions have been written for $t = 0$. Second, since the (anti-) ghost fields are decoupled from the rest of the theory, the annihilation and creation operators $c(k_2)$ and $c^\dagger(k_2)$ anticommute with the rest of the fermionic creation and annihilation operators. This can also be verified by the fact that, under the ghost transformations, the Dirac field ψ does not transform ($s_g \psi = i [\psi, Q_g] = 0$). Taking the help of Q_g from (9) and $\psi(x, t)$ from (23), it is clear that $\{b^\beta(k_1), c(k_2)\} = 0$, $\{b^\beta(k_1), c^\dagger(k_2)\} = 0$, $\{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), c(k_2)\} = 0$, $\{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), c^\dagger(k_2)\} = 0$, etc.

Comparing the above exponential with the exponential of the first term (cf. (27)) of the l.h.s. (i.e. $C(x)\psi(x)$), it is straightforward that if we choose

$$\{b^\beta(k_1), (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3)\} = -\delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta(k_1 - k_3) \quad (36)$$

we can match the exponential of the first term of the l.h.s. (cf. (27)) if $k_2 \rightarrow k$ and $k_4 \rightarrow k'$ because we have the following definition of the Dirac δ -function in the space part of the 2D spacetime manifold, namely;

$$\int \frac{dk_1}{(2\pi)} e^{-i(k_1 x - k_3 y)} \Big|_{k_1=k_3} = \delta(x - y). \quad (37)$$

With input from (36), we obtain explicitly the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} c(k_2) \delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta(k_1 - k_3) u^{\beta}(k_1) \\
& (u^{\gamma})^{\dagger}(k_3) b^{\sigma}(k_4) u^{\sigma}(k_4) e^{-i k_1 x} e^{-i (k_2 - k_3 + k_4) y} \\
& = \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 2k_1^0 \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_4^0)}} e^{-i k_1 (x-y)} e^{-i (k_2 + k_4) y} c(k_2) \\
& \left(\sum_{\beta} u^{\beta}(k_1) \bar{u}^{\beta}(k_1) \right) \gamma^0 b^{\sigma}(k_4) u^{\sigma}(k_4) \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have inserted $(\gamma^0)^2 = I$ appropriately at a suitable place.

The above type of expression also emerges from the anticommutator of the second term of $\psi(x, t)$ (cf. (23)) with the third term of (30), namely;

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} \\
& \{ (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k_1) v^{\beta}(k_1) e^{+i k_1 x}, a_3 e^{-i (k_2 + k_3 + k_4) y} \} \\
& = - \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} c(k_2) \{ (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k_1), d^{\gamma}(k_3) \} \\
& v^{\beta}(k_1) (v^{\gamma})^{\dagger}(k_3) b^{\sigma}(k_4) u^{\sigma}(k_4) e^{i k_1 x} e^{-i (k_2 + k_3 + k_4) y} \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

due to the fact that $\{ (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k_1), b^{\sigma}(k_4) \} = 0$ (cf. equation (32)) and $c(k_2)$ does anticommute with the operator $d^{\beta}(k_1)$.

Finally, we obtain the analogue of equation (38) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 2k_1^0 \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_4^0)}} e^{+i k_1 (x-y)} e^{-i (k_2 + k_4) y} c(k_2) \\
& \left(\sum_{\beta} v^{\beta}(k_1) \bar{v}^{\beta}(k_1) \right) \gamma^0 b^{\sigma}(k_4) u^{\sigma}(k_4) \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

if we exploit the anticommutator $\{ (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k_1), d^{\gamma}(k_3) \} = - \delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta(k_1 - k_3)$. Exploiting the trick of our Appendix A and using equation (25), it can be checked that the sum of (38) and (40) leads to

$$\sum_{\sigma} \int \frac{dk_2 dk_4}{(2\pi) \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_4^0)}} e^{-i (k_2 + k_4) x} c(k_2) b^{\sigma}(k_4) u^{\sigma}(k_4) \tag{41}$$

which is nothing but the exact first term of the l.h.s. (cf. (27)) in the limit $k_2 \rightarrow k$, $k_4 \rightarrow k'$ and $\sigma \rightarrow \alpha$. In precisely similar fashion, it can be checked that the *sum* of the anticommutators between

(i) the *first* term of $\psi(x, t)$ and the *second* term of (30) and that of the *second* term of $\psi(x, t)$ with the *fourth* term of (30) yields the second term of the l.h.s. (cf. (27)),

(ii) the *first* term of $\psi(x, t)$ and the *fifth* term of (30) and that of the *second* term of $\psi(x, t)$ with the *seventh* term of (30) produces the third term of the l.h.s. (cf. (27)), and

(iii) the *first* term of $\psi(x, t)$ and the *sixth* term of (30) and that of the *second* term of $\psi(x, t)$ with the *eighth* term of (30) leads to the fourth term of the l.h.s. (cf. (27)).

It should be noted that: it is the comparison of the exponentials from the l.h.s. and r.h.s. that dictates the non-vanishing brackets to be (59) (see, Sec. 6 below). Rest of the anticommutators amongst the fermionic creation and annihilation operators turn out to be zero. Exactly similar kind of computation, with the anti-BRST charge Q_{ab} , produces the basic canonical brackets between $b^\alpha(k)$ and $(b^\beta)^\dagger(k')$ as well as between $d^\alpha(k)$ and $(d^\beta)^\dagger(k')$.

The Lagrangian density (18) also respects the nilpotent (anti-) co-BRST symmetry transformations $s_{(a)d}$ in the massless ($m = 0$) limit of the Dirac fields. These transformations⁶, in addition to (3), are [9]

$$\begin{aligned} s_d \psi &= -i e \bar{C} \gamma_5 \psi & s_{ad} \psi &= -i e C \gamma_5 \psi \\ s_d \bar{\psi} &= +i e \bar{C} \gamma_5 \bar{\psi} & s_{ad} \bar{\psi} &= +i e C \gamma_5 \bar{\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The corresponding (anti-) co-BRST charges ($Q_{(a)d}$) have the same expression as given in (6) but now expressed as [9]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_d &= \int dx \left[E \dot{\bar{C}} + e \bar{\psi} \gamma_1 \bar{C} \psi + \partial_1 (\partial \cdot A) \bar{C} \right] \\ Q_{ad} &= \int dx \left[E \dot{C} + e \bar{\psi} \gamma_1 C \psi + \partial_1 (\partial \cdot A) C \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

The canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators can be computed from these conserved charges as well. Once again, as has been done with (anti-) BRST charges, the decisive role is played by the definition of the generator ($Q_{(a)d}$) of the continuous symmetries ($s_{(a)d}$) (i.e. $s_{(a)d} \psi = -i\{\psi, Q_{(a)d}\}$). Let us take an example for the sake of clarification, namely;

$$s_d \psi = -i \{\psi, Q_d\} = -i e \bar{C} \gamma_5 \psi \implies \{\psi, Q_d\} = e \bar{C} \gamma_5 \psi. \quad (44)$$

The term that would contribute from Q_d is

$$Q_d \approx e \int dx \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \bar{C} \psi = -e \int dx \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \bar{C} \psi \quad (45)$$

⁶It should be noted that, in reality, we have the transformations on $\bar{\psi}(x)$ as $s_d \bar{\psi} = +ie\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\bar{C}$. For the sake of convenience, however, we have taken it as $s_d \bar{\psi} = +ie\gamma_5\bar{C}\bar{\psi}$ because $(\bar{C}\gamma_5)\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}(\gamma_5\bar{C})$. In equation (42), we have taken this fact into account.

for the determination of the continuous symmetry transformation connected with s_d . Similarly, one can write all the rest of the fermionic transformations $s_{(a)d}$ in terms of the corresponding generators. Following the tricks developed in the case of the (anti-) BRST symmetry transformations, it can be checked that exactly the same non-vanishing anticommutators (amongst the creation and annihilation operators) emerge from this exercise, too. We have summarized some of the key steps of this computation in Appendix B.

Finally, the following bosonic charge Q_ω [9]

$$Q_\omega = \int dx \left[(\partial \cdot A) \partial_1 (\partial \cdot A) - E \partial_1 E - e (\partial \cdot A) \bar{\psi} \gamma_1 \psi + e E \bar{\psi} \gamma_0 \psi \right] \quad (46)$$

generates the bosonic transformations s_ω on the fermionic fields as [9]

$$s_\omega \psi = -i e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \psi \quad s_\omega \bar{\psi} = +i e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \bar{\psi} \quad (47)$$

which, in addition to the transformations (4), constitute the symmetry transformation for the Lagrangian density (18). The key equation (consistent with (10)) that leads to the determination of the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators is the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\omega \psi &= +i [\psi, Q_\omega] = -i e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \psi \\ s_\omega \bar{\psi} &= +i [\bar{\psi}, Q_\omega] = +i e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \bar{\psi}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

It is evident that, finally, we have to compute $[\psi, Q_\omega] = -e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \psi$ and $[\bar{\psi}, Q_\omega] = +e [\gamma_5 (\partial \cdot A) + E] \bar{\psi}$ for the determination of the canonical anticommutators amongst the creation and annihilation operators. For this purpose, the portion of the bosonic charge (Q_ω) that would contribute is: $Q_\omega \approx e \int dx [(\partial \cdot A) \psi^\dagger \gamma_5 \psi + E \psi^\dagger \psi]$ (where we have used $(\gamma_0)^2 = (\gamma^0)^2 = I$ and $\gamma_5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1$ in the final expression for this conserved charge).

Once again, applying the rules of the commutators and anticommutators, we end up with the non-vanishing anticommutators as (59) (see below) that have been derived by canonical method. Some of the key steps of our present exercise are illustrated in our Appendix C for the readers' convenience.

6. Canonical brackets: Lagrangian formalism

It is evident that the canonical conjugate momenta from the Lagrangian density (1), for the basic fields of the theory, are

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{(b)}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_\mu)} = -F^{0\mu} - \eta^{0\mu} (\partial \cdot A) \\ \Pi_C &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{(b)}}{\partial (\partial_0 C)} = +i \dot{C} \quad \Pi_{\bar{C}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{(b)}}{\partial (\partial_0 \bar{C})} = -i \dot{\bar{C}}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

As a consequence, we have the following canonical commutator and anti-commutators for the theory in 2D, namely;

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\mu(x, t), \Pi_\nu(y, t)] &= i\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta(x - y) \\ \{\bar{C}(x, t), \Pi_{\bar{C}}(y, t)\} &= i\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \{\bar{C}(x, t), \dot{C}(y, t)\} = -\delta(x - y) \\ \{C(x, t), \Pi_C(y, t)\} &= i\delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \{C(x, t), \dot{\bar{C}}(y, t)\} = \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

All the rest of the brackets are zero. It is clear that here *two* of the main ingredients of the canonical quantization scheme have been exploited. These are the elevation of the (graded) Poisson brackets to the canonical (anti-) commutators and the spin-statistics theorem. The top entry, in the above, implies the following commutators in terms of the components of the 2D gauge field A_μ and the corresponding conjugate momenta:

$$\begin{aligned} [A_0(x, t), (\partial \cdot A)(y, t)] &= -i \delta(x - y) \\ [A_i(x, t), E_j(y, t)] &= i \delta_{ij} \delta(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The above form of the commutators would turn out to be useful later.

To simplify the rest of our computations, we re-express the normal mode expansions of the basic fields (cf. (8)) as [11]

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu(x, t) &= \int dk [a_\mu(k) f_k^*(x) + a_\mu^\dagger(k) f_k(x)] \\ C(x, t) &= \int dk [c(k) f_k^*(x) + c^\dagger(k) f_k(x)] \\ \bar{C}(x, t) &= \int dk [\bar{c}(k) f_k^*(x) + \bar{c}^\dagger(k) f_k(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where the new functions

$$f_k(x) = \frac{e^{-ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} \quad f_k^*(x) = \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} \quad (53)$$

form an orthonormal set because they satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx f_k^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_{k'}(x) &= \delta(k - k') \\ \int dx f_k^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_{k'}^*(x) &= 0 \quad \int dx f_k(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_{k'}(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

We have taken into account, in the above, the following standard definition

$$A \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 B = A(\partial_0 B) - (\partial_0 A)B \quad (55)$$

for the operator $\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0$ between two arbitrary non-zero variables A and B. Using the above relations, it is straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu(k) &= \int dx A_\mu(x, t) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_k(x) & a_\mu^\dagger(k) &= \int dx f_k^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 A_\mu(x, t) \\ c(k) &= \int dx C(x, t) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_k(x) & \bar{c}(k) &= \int dx \bar{C}(x, t) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 f_k(x) \\ c^\dagger(k) &= \int dx f_k^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 C(x, t) & \bar{c}^\dagger(k) &= \int dx f_k^*(x) i \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_0 \bar{C}(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Thus, we have expressed the creation and annihilation operators in terms of the basic fields and the orthonormal functions $f_k(x)$ and $f_k^*(x)$.

At this stage, a few comments are in order. First and foremost, it is straightforward to check that only the canonical brackets (17) survive in the explicit computations. Second, there exist six anticommutators from the four fermionic operators $c(k)$, $c^\dagger(k)$, $\bar{c}(k)$, $\bar{c}^\dagger(k)$. Out of which, four turn out to be zero because of the orthonormality relations (54) and due to the fact that $C^2 = \bar{C}^2 = 0$, $\{C(x, t), \dot{C}(y, t)\} = 0$, $\{\bar{C}(x, t), \dot{\bar{C}}(y, t)\} = 0$. Finally, there exist three basic commutators from the operators $a_\mu(k)$ and $a_\mu^\dagger(k)$. Out of which, two turn out to be zero (i.e. $[a_\mu(k), a_\nu(k')] = [a_\mu^\dagger(k), a_\nu^\dagger(k')] = 0$). The proof for it is simple because the commutation relations in (51) can be recast in the form $[A_\mu(x, t), \dot{A}_\nu(y, t)] = -i \eta_{\mu\nu} \delta(x - y)$ due to the fact that (i) $\dot{A}_0 = (\partial \cdot A) + \partial_i A_i$ and $\dot{A}_i = E_i + \partial_i A_0$, and (ii) the spatial derivative of the gauge field A_μ commutes with itself (i.e. $[A_\mu(x, t), \partial_i A_\nu(y, t)] = 0$).

It is straightforward to check that the canonical brackets of (50) and (51) lead to the derivation of *exactly* the same brackets as are listed in (17). This can be checked directly by exploiting the explicit expressions for the creation and annihilation operators quoted in (56). In this computation, the concept of normal ordering has *not* yet been exploited because we have not dealt with any non-trivial physical quantity (e.g. Hamiltonian, conserved charges, etc.).

The stage is now set to discuss the canonical quantization in terms of the fermionic creation and annihilation operators of the Dirac fields present in the Lagrangian density (18) for the 2D QED. As pointed out earlier, we have only the conjugate momentum corresponding to the ψ field (i.e. $\Pi_\psi = -i \psi^\dagger(x)$). Thus, the analogue of (50) (for the case of Dirac fields) is

$$\{\psi(x, t), \Pi_\psi(y, t)\} = i \delta(x - y) \Rightarrow \{\psi(x, t), \psi^\dagger(y, t)\} = -\delta(x - y) \quad (57)$$

and rest of the (anti-) commutators are zero amongst the fermionic variables $(\psi, \psi^\dagger)$. Furthermore, the Dirac fermionic fields ψ and $\psi^\dagger$ have zero equal-time (anti-) commutators with the rest of the basic fields (i.e. $A_\mu, C, \bar{C}$) and their corresponding conjugate momenta that are derived from (18).

It can be checked that the creation and annihilation operators in the expansions of ψ and $\psi^\dagger$ (cf. (23), (24)), can be explicitly expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
b^\alpha(k) &= \int \frac{dk e^{-ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} (u^\alpha)^\dagger(k) \psi(x, t) \\
(b^\alpha)^\dagger(k) &= \int \frac{dk e^{+ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} \psi^\dagger(x, t) u^\alpha(k) \\
d^\alpha(k) &= \int \frac{dk e^{-ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} \psi^\dagger(x, t) v^\alpha(k) \\
(d^\alpha)^\dagger(k) &= \int \frac{dk e^{+ik \cdot x}}{\sqrt{(2\pi \ 2k_0)}} (v^\alpha)^\dagger(k) \psi(x, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where we have made use of the relations (25) (i.e. $(u^\alpha)^\dagger(k) u^\beta(k) = 2k_0 \delta^{\alpha\beta}$, $(v^\alpha)^\dagger(k) v^\beta(k) = 2k_0 \delta^{\alpha\beta}$). It is now straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b^\alpha(k), (b^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta(k - k') \\
\{d^\alpha(k), (d^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} &= -\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta(k - k')
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

and rest of the (anti-) commutators amongst $b^\alpha(k)$, $(b^\beta)^\dagger(k)$, $d^\alpha(k)$ and $(d^\beta)^\dagger(k)$ are found to be zero where, in the proof, we have to make use of $\{\psi(x, t), \psi(y, t)\} = 0$, $\{\psi^\dagger(x, t), \psi^\dagger(y, t)\} = 0$ and various relations that exist amongst $u^\alpha(k)$, $(u^\beta)^\dagger(k)$, $v^\alpha(k)$ and $(v^\beta)^\dagger(k)$ (see, e.g. [10] for details). Thus, we obtain the same canonical anticommutators (cf. (36)) as derived earlier by exploiting the continuous symmetry transformations (cf. (26)).

Finally, we conclude that the *basic* canonical brackets, amongst the creation and annihilation operators of the bosonic and fermionic fields of the 2D QED with Dirac fields, can be derived in a straightforward manner

(i) from the continuous symmetry considerations, and

(ii) by exploiting the definition of momenta from the Lagrangian density.

From both the above methods, the basic brackets (cf. (17), (59)) turn out to be *exactly* the same. Thus, the basic brackets (17) and (59) are hidden, in a subtle way, in the continuous symmetry transformations of the theory itself.

7. Conclusions

The central result of our present investigation is the derivation of the basic canonical brackets by exploiting the continuous symmetry transformations that are present in the interacting 2D Abelian 1-form gauge theory with Dirac fields. These brackets exist amongst the creation and annihilation operators that appear in the normal mode expansions of the basic fields of the theory.

In our present endeavor, the key ideas that have been exploited are the spin-statistics theorem, normal ordering (in the expressions for the charges) and continuous symmetry transformations. The last of the above ideas is a novel one and it differs from the standard method of canonical quantization scheme where the (graded) Poisson-brackets are elevated to the (anti-) commutators in addition to the spin-statistics theorem and normal ordering.

It should be noted that, in some of the standard text books (see, e.g. [12]), the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilation operators have been obtained by exploiting the Poincaré operators (like momenta and angular momenta) which are generators of the global *spacetime* transformations (i.e. translations plus Lorentz rotations). In our case, however, we have exploited only the continuous *internal* symmetry transformations connected with the BRST formalism. These continuous symmetry transformations are needed to prove that the 2D QED with Dirac fields is a field-theoretic model for the Hodge theory [9] where the above symmetry transformations (and corresponding charges) provide a physical realizations of the de Rham cohomological operators of differential geometry (see, e.g. [13-15]).

One of the most beautiful observations in our present investigation is the emergence of *the one and the same* basic canonical brackets (cf. (17) and (59)) from *all* the continuous symmetry transformations that are present in the theory. In fact, even though the continuous transformations (cf. (2)-(5), (19), (42), (47)) (and their corresponding generators) look drastically different, the hidden basic brackets (cf. (17), (59)), that emerge from the application of (10), are exactly the same. This key observation ensures that the symmetry principles encode in their folds the canonical brackets of a given theory. To the best of our knowledge, *our method* of the derivation of (17) and (59) is a novel result (in the realm of theoretical physics).

We have purposely discussed, separately and independently, the free 2D U(1) gauge theory and the interacting 2D QED with Dirac fields. This is due to the fact that all the conserved charges in the case of the former turn out to be quadratic (bilinear) in fields. As a consequence, they can be expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators in a neat and compact form without any exponentials (cf. (9)). This is not the situation with the 2D QED with Dirac fields. It can be seen that the continuous (anti-) BRST and (anti-) co-BRST transformations of the Dirac fields ($\psi(x, t)$ and $\psi^\dagger(x, t)$) are generated by the charges that contain trilinear terms which can *not* be expressed in terms of the creation and annihilation operators in a compact and neat form. Thus, the derivation of the basic canonical brackets, from the above trilinear terms, becomes quite involved. In our present endeavor, however, we have been able to accomplish our goal in a neat and clear fashion.

Symmetry principles, as is well-known, have already played decisive roles

in the developments of modern theoretical physics. We firmly believe that the key aspects of symmetry principle, highlighted in our present investigation, can be generalized to the description of higher p -form ($p \geq 2$) gauge fields that appear in the excitations of the (super)strings. Thus, our present endeavor should be treated as our modest step towards our main goal of studying various aspects of the free 4D Abelian 2-form (see, e.g. [4]) and higher p -form ($p \geq 3$) gauge theories within the framework of BRST formalism. We are intensively involved, at present, in the above mentioned endeavors and our results would be reported in our future publications [16].

Acknowledgment

Financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, under the SERC project sanction grant No: SR/S2/HEP-23/2006, is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix A

We establish here the consistency between the anticommutators of various types amongst the creation and annihilation operators of Dirac fields and the ones that exist between the field variables themselves (i.e. $\{\psi(x, t), \psi(y, t)\} = 0$, $\{\psi^\dagger(x, t), \psi^\dagger(y, t)\} = 0$, $\{\psi(x, t), \psi^\dagger(y, t)\} = -\delta(x - y)$). To this end in mind, it can be seen that the l.h.s. of the last anticommutator can be expressed, using expansions (23) and (24), as

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi(x, t), \psi^\dagger(y, t)\} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk dk'}{\sqrt{(2k_0 2k'_0)}} \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \\ &\left[\{b^\alpha(k), (b^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} u^\alpha(k) (u^\beta)^\dagger(k') \exp[i(k_0 - k'_0)t - i(kx - k'y)] \right. \\ &+ \{(d^\alpha)^\dagger(k), d^\beta(k')\} v^\alpha(k) (v^\beta)^\dagger(k') \exp[-i(k_0 - k'_0)t + i(kx - k'y)] \\ &+ \{(d^\alpha)^\dagger(k), (b^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} v^\alpha(k) (u^\beta)^\dagger(k') \exp[-i(k_0 + k'_0)t + i(kx + k'y)] \\ &\left. + \{b^\alpha(k), d^\beta(k')\} u^\alpha(k) (v^\beta)^\dagger(k') \exp[i(k_0 + k'_0)t - i(kx + k'y)] \right] \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

where we have *not* taken $t = 0$ for the sake of generality and transparency. It is clear from this canonical bracket that the r.h.s. of the above equation is the Dirac delta-function (cf. (37)). A comparison with the definition of the delta-function implies that the following anticommutators are true:

$$\{b^\alpha(k), d^\beta(k')\} = 0 \quad \{(b^\alpha)^\dagger(k), (d^\beta)^\dagger(k')\} = 0. \quad (61)$$

The above conclusion is drawn because of the exponentials that are present in the Dirac delta-function (37) (i.e. r.h.s) and (60) (i.e. l.h.s.). It can be easily seen that the exponentials in third and fourth terms of (60) can not produce $\delta(x - y)$ for k and k' being positive definite.

Furthermore, if we assume the other remaining brackets to be (59), we obtain the following expression for (60), namely;

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk dk'}{\sqrt{(2k_0) (2k'_0)}} \sum_{\alpha} \delta(k - k') \left(u^{\alpha}(k) (u^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k') \exp[-i k (x - y)] + v^{\alpha}(k) (v^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k') \exp[+i k (x - y)] \right) \quad (62)$$

where we have taken into account the fact that $k_0 = k'_0$ because of the presence of the $\delta(k - k')$ (implying $e^{i(k_0 - k'_0)t} \delta(k - k') = \delta(k - k')$). Inserting $(\gamma^0)^2 = I$ appropriately and using (25), we obtain the following

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{dk}{2k_0} \left[(k_0 \gamma_0 - k \gamma_1 + m) \gamma_0 \exp[-i k (x - y)] + (k_0 \gamma_0 - k \gamma_1 - m) \gamma_0 \exp[+i k (x - y)] \right]. \quad (63)$$

Changing $k \rightarrow -k$ in the second term, we obtain the following final expression

$$-\int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)(2k_0)} (2k_0) \exp[-i k (x - y)] \quad (64)$$

which is nothing other than the Dirac delta-function.

Similarly, it can be checked that there is absolute consistency between the canonical anticommutators that emerge from the Lagrangian density (18):

$$\{\psi(x, t), \psi(y, t)\} = \{\psi^{\dagger}(x, t), \psi^{\dagger}(y, t)\} = 0 \quad (65)$$

and the following anticommutators amongst the creation and annihilation operators that ensue due to the mode expansions of the above fields, namely;

$$\begin{aligned} \{b^{\alpha}(k), b^{\beta}(k')\} &= 0 & \{d^{\alpha}(k), d^{\beta}(k')\} &= 0 \\ \{b^{\alpha}(k), (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k')\} &= 0 & \{(b^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k), (b^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k')\} &= 0 \\ \{(d^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k), (d^{\beta})^{\dagger}(k')\} &= 0 & \{(b^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k), d^{\beta}(k')\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Thus, we have established an absolute consistency between the canonical brackets consisting of $\psi(x, t)$ and $\psi^{\dagger}(x, t)$ and the corresponding canonical brackets (anticommutators) existing amongst the creation and annihilation operators that appear in the mode expansions of the above fields.

Appendix B

Here we provide some of the key steps that are needed in the determination of the anticommutators (59) from the continuous symmetry transformations $s_{(a)d}$ generated by the (anti-) co-BRST charges $Q_{(a)d}$. It is evident from equations (44) and (45) that we have, for the specific case of co-BRST transformation ($s_d\psi = -ie\gamma_5\bar{C}\psi = -i\{\psi, Q_d\}$), the following expression:

$$e \bar{C} \gamma_5 \psi = +e \gamma_5 \int dy \{ \psi(x), \bar{C}(y) \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y) \} \quad (67)$$

where we have used $\bar{C}\psi^\dagger + \psi^\dagger\bar{C} = 0$. The above expression, finally, reduces to the following straightforward equality:

$$\bar{C}(x) \psi(x) = \int dy \{ \psi(x), \bar{C}(y) \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y) \} \quad (68)$$

which is similar in appearance as the corresponding nilpotent BRST transformations (cf. (26),(29)) with the replacement $C \rightarrow \bar{C}$. In fact, the expression in (68) is exactly same as the one connected with the anti-BRST symmetry transformations and corresponding generator.

The l.h.s. of (68) (for $t = 0$) can be written, analogous to (27), as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{dk dk'}{(2\pi)\sqrt{(2k_0 2k'_0)}} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\bar{c}(k) b^{\alpha}(k') u^{\alpha}(k') \exp[-i(k+k')x] \right. \\ & + \bar{c}(k) (d^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k') v^{\alpha}(k') \exp[-i(k-k')x] + \bar{c}^{\dagger}(k) b^{\alpha}(k') u^{\alpha}(k') \\ & \left. \exp[+i(k-k')x] + \bar{c}^{\dagger}(k) (d^{\alpha})^{\dagger}(k') v^{\alpha}(k') \exp[+i(k+k')x] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Similarly, the r.h.s. of (68) can be written, analogous to (30), as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \int \frac{dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} \left(b_1 \exp[-i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y] \right. \\ & + b_2 \exp[-i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y] + b_3 \exp[-i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y] \\ & + b_4 \exp[-i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y] + b_5 \exp[+i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y] \\ & + b_6 \exp[+i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y] + b_7 \exp[+i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y] \\ & \left. + b_8 \exp[+i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where we have taken $t = 0$ for the relevant portion of the Q_d because it is a conserved quantity. Furthermore, we are using here the equal-time anticommutators which enforce us to take the mode expansion of $\psi(x, t)$ at $t = 0$, too. The momenta k_2, k_3 and k_4 , in the above, are associated with the mode

expansions of $\bar{C}(y), \psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(y)$, respectively. The explicit form of the operators b_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$), in the above, are

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= \bar{c}(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_2 &= \bar{c}(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_3 &= \bar{c}(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_4 &= \bar{c}(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_5 &= \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_6 &= \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_7 &= \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
b_8 &= \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4).
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

It should be noted that we have not yet written the operators b_i in the normal ordered form and we have maintained the order as they appear in the expression for a portion of Q_d (where the local fields $\bar{C}(y), \psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(y)$ are present). This is due to the fact that Q_d is still *not* in the form (9).

Exploiting the inputs from (32) and the fact that the ghost transformation for the field $\psi(x)$ is zero (i.e. $s_g\psi = +i[\psi, Q_g] = 0$), it is clear, from the expression in (9) (for Q_g) and expansion in (23) (for the field $\psi(x)$), that the following anticommutators are true, namely;

$$\begin{aligned}
\{b^\beta(k_1), c(k_2)\} &= 0 & \{b^\beta(k_1), c^\dagger(k_2)\} &= 0 & \{b^\beta(k_1), \bar{c}(k_2)\} &= 0 \\
\{b^\beta(k_1), \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2)\} &= 0 & \{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), c(k_2)\} &= 0 & \{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), c^\dagger(k_2)\} &= 0 \\
\{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), \bar{c}(k_2)\} &= 0 & \{(d^\beta)^\dagger(k_1), \bar{c}^\dagger(k_2)\} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

It will be noted that all the arguments of the BRST transformations (i.e. $s_b\psi = -i\{\psi, Q_b\} = -ieC\psi$), discussed in the main body of our text, would be applicable in our present discussion (connected with the continuous symmetry transformation $s_d\psi = -i\{\psi, Q_d\} = -ie\gamma_5\bar{C}\psi$) as well. It is clear now that we shall obtain, ultimately, the non-vanishing brackets as (59) and the rest of the brackets would turn out to be zero.

Appendix C

We discuss here some of the crucial algebraic steps for the derivation of the fundamental brackets (59) from the continuous symmetry transformations generated by the bosonic charge Q_ω . It is evident from (48) that

$$- e [\gamma_5(\partial \cdot A) + E] \psi = [\psi, Q_\omega] \tag{73}$$

where the term that contributes from Q_ω is: $e \int dy [(\partial \cdot A) \gamma_5 \psi^\dagger \psi + E \psi^\dagger \psi]$. Thus, the factor “ e ” cancels from l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (73). Plugging in the expansions from (8) (with $\partial \cdot A = \partial_\mu A^\mu$, $E = -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_\nu$) and (23), we obtain the l.h.s. of (73) (for $t = 0$) as:

$$i \int \frac{dk dk'}{(2\pi)\sqrt{(2k_0 2k'_0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_\nu + \gamma_5 k^\mu) \sum_\alpha \left[a_\mu^\dagger(k) u^\alpha(k') b^\alpha(k') e^{+i(k-k')x} + a_\mu^\dagger(k) (d^\alpha)^\dagger(k') v^\alpha(k') e^{+i(k+k')x} - a_\mu(k) u^\alpha(k') b^\alpha(k') e^{-i(k+k')x} - a_\mu(k) (d^\alpha)^\dagger(k') v^\alpha(k') e^{-i(k-k')x} \right] \quad (74)$$

where k and k' are the momenta in the phase space corresponding to the field expansions in (8) (for $A_\mu(x)$ field) and (23) (for $\psi(x)$ field).

The relevant expression for Q_ω on the r.h.s. is: $Q_\omega \approx \int dy [(\partial \cdot A)(y) \gamma_5 + E(y)] \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(y)$. Exploiting the expansions of (8), (23) and (24) at $t = 0$, we obtain the following expression for the relevant Q_ω operator, namely;

$$-i \sum_\gamma \sum_\sigma \int dy \frac{dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sqrt{(2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{2\nu} + \gamma_5 k_2^\mu) \left[c_\mu^{(1)} \exp(+i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(2)} \exp(+i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(3)} \exp(+i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(4)} \exp(+i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(5)} \exp(-i(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(6)} \exp(-i(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(7)} \exp(-i(k_2 + k_3 + k_4)y) + c_\mu^{(8)} \exp(-i(k_2 + k_3 - k_4)y) \right] \quad (75)$$

where k_2, k_3 and k_4 are the momenta corresponding to the fields $A_\mu(y)$, $\psi^\dagger(y)$ and $\psi(y)$, respectively. The operators $c_\mu^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$) are

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu^{(1)} &= a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(2)} &= a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(3)} &= a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(4)} &= a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(5)} &= -a_\mu(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(6)} &= -a_\mu(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(7)} &= -a_\mu(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) \\ c_\mu^{(8)} &= -a_\mu(k_2) d^\gamma(k_3) (v^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) (d^\sigma)^\dagger(k_4) v^\sigma(k_4). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where the order of the creation and annihilation operators has been maintained (without any kind of implementation of the normal ordering).

Now the stage is set for the explicit computation of the bracket $[\psi, Q_\omega]$. From expansion of $\psi(x)$ in (23), it is clear that there would be sixteen commutators but many of them would vanish due to our earlier arguments. It can be checked that commutator of the first term of expansion of $\psi(x)$ (in (23)) and the first term of the relevant part of Q_ω in (75) (for $t = 0$) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{2\nu} + \gamma_5 k_2^\mu) \\
& \left[b^\beta(k_1), a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) b^\sigma(k_4) \right] u^\beta(k_1) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
& \exp(-i k_1 x) \exp(+i (k_2 + k_3 - k_4) y). \tag{77}
\end{aligned}$$

Exploiting the appropriate rules of the commutators we obtain, ultimately, the following existing bracket, namely;

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{2\nu} + \gamma_5 k_2^\mu) \\
& a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) \left\{ b^\beta(k_1), (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) \right\} b^\sigma(k_4) u^\beta(k_1) (u^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3) u^\sigma(k_4) \\
& \exp[-i k_1 x + i (k_2 + k_3 - k_4) y] \tag{78}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have already exploited (32) and the commutator $[b^\beta(k_1), a_\mu^\dagger(k_2)] = 0$ due to the fact that there is no mixing between fields $A_\mu(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ as far as our basic continuous symmetry transformations $s_{(a)b}$ and $s_{(a)d}$ are concerned. It is also clear that, under the ghost transformations, fermionic fields ψ and $\psi^\dagger$ do not transform at all. Hence, no mixing here as well.

The exponentials of the first term of the l.h.s. of (73) (which is explicitly expressed as (74)) and the above commutator would match if we exploit the relevant canonical bracket of (59) (i.e. $\{b^\beta(k_1), (b^\gamma)^\dagger(k_3)\} = -\delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta(k_1 - k_3)$). As a consequence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& i \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{2\nu} + \gamma_5 k_2^\mu) \delta(k_1 - k_3) \\
& a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) \sum_{\beta} \left(u^\beta(k_1) \bar{u}^\beta(k_1) \right) \gamma^0 b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) e^{-i k_1 (x-y)} e^{+i (k_2 - k_4) y}. \tag{79}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar kind of terms would be generated from the commutator of the *second* term of ψ (cf. (23)) and the *third* term of the relevant portion of Q_ω (cf. (75)). This can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
& i \sum_{\sigma} \int dy \frac{dk_1 dk_2 dk_3 dk_4}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{(2k_1^0 2k_2^0 2k_3^0 2k_4^0)}} (\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{2\nu} + \gamma_5 k_2^\mu) \delta(k_1 - k_3) \\
& a_\mu^\dagger(k_2) \sum_{\beta} \left(v^\beta(k_1) \bar{v}^\beta(k_1) \right) \gamma^0 b^\sigma(k_4) u^\sigma(k_4) e^{+i k_1 (x-y)} e^{+i (k_2 - k_4) y}. \tag{80}
\end{aligned}$$

The sum of (79) and (80) (with the help of (25), definition and properties of the Dirac δ - function) produces the first term of the l.h.s. (cf. (74)) where one has to make the replacements: $k_2 \rightarrow k, k_4 \rightarrow k'$ and $\sigma \rightarrow \alpha$.

It is now straightforward to check that the rest of the terms of the l.h.s. (cf. (74)) can also be produced with various combinations of commutators from the first and second terms of ψ (in (23)) and some appropriate terms of (75). It is crucial to note that, in all these computations, the canonical brackets (59) play very decisive roles as they are the root cause behind the emergence of the correct powers of the exponentials on the r.h.s. In other words, we conclude that an accurate comparison of the exponentials from the l.h.s. and r.h.s. (of (73)) leads to the derivation of the canonical brackets amongst the creation and annihilations operators of (59).

References

- [1] See, e.g., Yang C N 1980 *Physics Today* **33** 42
- [2] Becchi C, Rouet A and Stora R 1974 *Phys. Lett. B* **32** 344
 Becchi C, Rouet A and Stora R 1975 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **42** 127
 Becchi C, Rouet A and Stora R 1976 *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **98** 287
 Tyutin I V 1975 Lebedev Institute Preprint, *Report No: FIAN-39*
 (unpublished)
- [3] See, e.g., Malik R P 2007 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **22** 3521
 (*Preprint* hep-th/0609201)
- [4] Gupta Saurabh and Malik R P 2008 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** 517
 (*Preprint* arXiv: 0807.2306 [hep-th])
 Gupta S, Kumar R and Malik R P 2010 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **65** 311
 (*Preprint* arXiv: 0905.0934 [hep-th])
- [5] Nishijima K 1996 *Czech. J. Phys.* **46** 1
- [6] Nakanishi N and Ojima I 1990 *Covariant Operator Formalism of Gauge Theory and Quantum Gravity* (World Scientific: Singapore)
- [7] Weinberg S 1996 *The Quantum Theory of Fields: Modern Applications* vol 2 (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge)
- [8] See, e.g., Nemeschansky D, Preitschopf C and Weinstein M 1988
Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **183** 226

- [9] Malik R P 2000 *Mod. Phys. Lett A* **15** 2079 (*Preprint* hep-th/0003128)
 Malik R P 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett A* **16** 477 (*Preprint* hep-th/9711056)
- [10] Tong D *Quantum Field Theory* (University of Cambridge Part III
 Mathematical Tripos) (<http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/tong/qft.html>)
- [11] See, e.g., Ryder L H 1985 *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge University
 Press: Cambridge)
- [12] Bogoliubov N N and Shirkov D V 1959 *Introduction to The Theory of
 Quantized Fields* vol 3 (Interscience Publishers, INC.: New York)
- [13] Eguchi T, Gilkey P B and Hanson A 1980 *Phys. Rep.* **66** 213
- [14] Mukhi S and Mukunda N 1990 *Introduction to Topology, Differential
 Geometry and Group Theory to Physicists* (Wiley: New Delhi)
- [15] van Holten J W 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 2863
- [16] Gupta S, Kumar R and Malik R P, in preparation